

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,554	10/18/2004	Urs Welz-Biermann	MERCK-2930	4652	
23599	7590 10/20/2006		EXAMINER		
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			NWAONICHA, O	NWAONICHA, CHUKWUMA O	
SUITE 1400	CLARENDON BLVD. 1400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			1621	· 	
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/511,554	WELZ-BIERMANN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 September 2006. This action is FINAL. This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-14 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-14 are subject to restrictio Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed to the description of the descripti	vn from consideration. n and/or election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required in the election is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required in the election is	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/511,554 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Current Status

- 1. This action is responsive to Applicants' amendment of 28 August 2006.
- 2. Receipt and entry of Applicants' amendment is acknowledged.
- 3. Claims 1-8 and 10-14 are pending in the application.
- 4. The rejection of claims 1-8 and 10-14 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gilje et al., {Preparation and nuclear magnetic resonance parameters of perfluoroalkyl-substituted phosphorus(V) hydrides, Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications, 1973, 813 814} for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action of 5/24/06 is withdrawn because applicants amended the claims to overcome the 102 rejection.

Lack of Unity

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1. Claims 1-8, 10 and 11 drawn to a process for the preparation of perfluoroalkylphosphines, classified in class 562, subclass 808.

Group 2. Claims 12-14, drawn to perfluoroalkylation of chemical substrates, classified in class 568, subclass 8+.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,554

Art Unit: 1621

The inventions listed as Group 1 and Group 2 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group 1 is drawn to a process for the preparation of perfluoroalkylphosphines while Group 2 is drawn to perfluoroalkylation of chemical substrates. These are two different chemical processes and require different search strategies. Therefore there is no special technical feature for the compounds, the processes of making these compounds or the different fields of application of the compounds. Also there is no unity of invention.

Page 3

There is no special technical feature, which unites the groups. But even if there were a special technical feature there must be unity of invention also. Under 37 CFR 1.475

- (a) An international and a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.
- (b) An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

Application/Control Number: 10/511,554

Art Unit: 1621

į,

(1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or

- (2) A product and a process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

The above groups 1-2 together do not meet the requirement of unity of invention as given above in (1) -(5).

A telephone call was made to Harry Shubin on 10/3/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha whose telephone number is 571-272-2908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman k. Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,554

Art Unit: 1621

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha, Ph.D. Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1621

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PAYENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Thurman Page,

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Technology Center 1600